ATTACHMENT 13

Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 229-13 Filed 05/17/24 Page 2 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL ROBOT) Lead Case No.:
	ANTITRUST LITIGATION,) 3:21-cv-03825-VC
5)
	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)
6	ALL CASES)
)
7	
8	SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE)
	COMPANY, INC.,) Case No.
9) 3:21-cv-03496-VC
	Plaintiff,)
10)
	vs.
11)
	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
12)
	Defendant.)
13)
14	
15	
16	***HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY***
17	
18	REMOTE PROCEEDINGS OF THE
19	DEPOSITION OF STAN HAMILTON
20	FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2022
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY NANCY J. MARTIN
24	CSR. NO. 9504, RMR, RPR
25	PAGES 1 - 112

Page 37 1 Of course, Baylor Scott came up today, but hospitals. 2. there were others. There were hospital systems 3 involved. Not single hospitals, but hospital systems 4 that did central service, things like that. But I don't recall which hospitals came --5 the documents came from. 6 I just -- at this time, it's 7 been a while. What documents did you see that came from 8 Ο. 9 hospitals that you're thinking of in your prior 10 answers? At this point in my memory, I could just 11 12 describe them generally, but they were memos and 13 things sent to the hospitals that either changed 14 terms, put new terms on the services that Intuitive 15 provided. Things like that, but I don't remember 16 specifics at this point. 17 Q. The -- we're talking about the Si -- the process that Rebotix used to reset Si instruments. 18 Αm I right that one of the key steps was the addition of 19 20 the interceptor chip to an endoWrist in order to reset 2.1 the number of lives? 22 MR. CORRIGAN: Object to the form. 23 MR. ERWIG: Same objection. 24 THE WITNESS: There was a part of the process 25 which did include a modification similar to what

Page 38 1 you're describing, yes. 2. BY MR. LAZEROW: 3 Q. Does the term interceptor chip mean anything 4 to you in the context of Rebotix's efforts with the Si endoWrists? 5 It was mainly just an internal name as 6 7 part of the development project that ended up being externalized, but yes. 8 9 The -- the process -- does -- sitting here 10 today, does Rebotix have a process that it is following to try to reset Xi endoWrist instruments? 11 12 MR. ERWIG: Object to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I would say the way to answer 14 that most clearly is that there is a process under 15 development which has not been released yet. 16 BY MR. LAZEROW: 17 Q. Does that process include the use of the interceptor chip? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Has -- sitting here today, has Rebotix Ο. 21 figured out how to circumvent the usage counter on Xi 22 endoWrist instruments? 23 MR. ERWIG: Objection. Form. 24 THE WITNESS: Substantially, yes. 25 BY MR. LAZEROW:

Page 39

- O. But not 100 percent?
- A. Meaning if the process is fully developed and ready to implement, not 100 percent.
- Q. What is missing from the process in order -what is missing from the process to fully develop and
 implement the ability to reset the usage of Xi
 endoWrist instruments?

MR. ERWIG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Final procedures, testing, validation, same things we had to go through for the Si. All the testing that has to be done, and is now in progress, but it takes time.

BY MR. LAZEROW:

2.

Q. I want to make sure I understand your testimony.

Are you saying that from a technical standpoint, Rebotix has actually reset the usage calendar of an Xi endoWrist instrument as of today?

MR. ERWIG: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure how you're even defining that. Have we done that in the marketplace? I said no. Have we done the technical equivalent of that. I said yes. And there are many steps between the technical equivalent and releasing it into the marketplace.

Page 40

BY MR. LAZEROW:

2.

- Q. I'm sorry. Were you done? I apologize. Were you done with your answer?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. So I'm not talking about release in the marketplace. I'm not talking about anything related to marketing. I'm only focusing from a technical aspect in your work -- in the work that Rebotix has done, whether Rebotix has, in fact, technologically speaking, from a technical standpoint, has gotten an endowrist, and Xi endowrist and has reset the usage counter so that it has the original number of lives that were on it when it was sold new?

MR. CORRIGAN: Object to the form.

MR. ERWIG: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: Again, we have done the technical part of that process. So in other words, doing something on a lab bench, doing something in the final validated form, validating with a robot, those kinds of things. Technically, the process of modifying locations in the RFID at now memory chip, yes, has been done.

MR. ERWIG: Also, I just want to quickly interject that we're going to mark this "Attorney's Eyes Only."